1	BEFORE THE				
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION				
4	IN THE MATTER OF:)				
3	IQ TELECOM, INC.)				
4) No. 10-0379				
5	Application for Designation as) an Eligible Telecommunications) Carrier for purpose of)				
6	receiving Federal Universal)				
7	Service Support pursuant to) Section 214(e)(2) of the) Telecommunications Act of 1996.)				
8					
9	Chicago, Illinois May 25, 2011				
10					
11	Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m.				
12					
13	BEFORE:				
14	MR. JOHN RILEY, Administrative Law Judge.				
15					
16	APPEARANCES:				
17	MR. PATRICK CROCKER				
18	<pre>107 West Michigan Avenue, 4th Floor Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007 appeared for Applicant, telephonically;</pre>				
19					
20					
21					
22					

```
1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2
       WARD & WARD, P.C., by
 3
       MR. MICHAEL W. WARD
       One Rotary Center
       1560 Sherman Avenue, Suite 310
 4
       Evanston, Illinois 60201
 5
         appeared for Applicant;
6
7
       MAYER BROWN, LLP, by
       MR. MATT PROVANCE and
8
       MR. CHRISTIAN F. BINNIG
       71 West Wacker Drive
9
       Chicago, Illinois 60606
         appeared for UTAC;
10
               -and-
       MS. BARBARA E. COHEN
11
       7428 Anton Circle, NE
       Albuquerque, New Mexico 87122
12
         appeared for UTAC;
13
       MS. NICOLE T. SARA
       MR. MATTHEW L. HARVEY
14
       160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
15
       Chicago, Illinois 60601
         appeared for Commission Staff.
16
17
18
19
20
   SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
21 Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR
22
```

1		<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>		
2	Withouses	Dia Gara	Re- Re	
3	Witnesses:	Dir. Crx.	air. crx	. Examiner
4	NONE			
5				
6				
7				
8				
9		<u>E X H I B</u>		
10	APPLICANT'S	FOR IDENTIF	ICATION	IN EVIDENCE
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction
- 2 of the Illinois Commerce Commission, I call
- 3 Docket 10-0379. This is an application by
- 4 IQ Telecom, Inc., for designation as an eligible
- 5 telecommunications carrier for purposes of receiving
- 6 Federal Universal Service Support, pursuant to
- 7 Section 214(e)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of
- 8 1996.
- 9 And beginning with Mr. Crocker, would
- 10 you enter an appearance for the record, please.
- 11 MR. CROCKER: Yes.
- 12 Patrick Crocker, 107 West Michigan
- 13 Avenue, 4th Floor, Kalamazoo, K-a-l-a-m-a-z-o-o,
- 14 Michigan 49007, appearing on behalf of the applicant
- 15 today, your Honor, with Dan Gentile, also on the
- 16 bridge here.
- 17 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- And, Mr. Ward, you're filing an
- 19 appearance, also?
- 20 MR. WARD: Yes, with your leave, your Honor,
- 21 we'd like to file an appearance on behalf of
- 22 IQ Telecom for Ward & Ward, PC. I'm Michael Ward

- 1 and my partner is John F. Ward, Jr. We're at
- 2 One Rotary Center, 1560 Sherman Avenue, Suite 310,
- 3 Evanston, Illinois 60201.
- 4 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 5 And for Commission Staff?
- 6 MS. SARA: On behalf of the Staff of the
- 7 Illinois Commerce Commission, Nicole T. Sara and
- 8 Matthew L. Harvey, 160 North LaSalle Street,
- 9 Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 10 Also present in the hearing room today
- 11 is Dr. Qin Liu of the Telecommunications Division.
- 12 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 13 And for UTAC?
- MR. PROVANCE: On behalf of UTAC, Matt Provance,
- 15 Mayer Brown, LLP, 71 South Wacker Drive, Chicago,
- 16 Illinois 60606.
- 17 And I'm also entering an appearance
- 18 for Christian Binnig, B-i-n-n-i-g, Mayer Brown, LLP,
- 19 71 South, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- 20 And Barbara Cohen, C-o-h-e-n,
- 21 7428 Anton Circle, NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- 22 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.

- 1 And I believe -- did I set this matter
- 2 for hearing or for status today?
- 3 MS. SARA: Status.
- 4 JUDGE RILEY: I have received a substantial
- 5 amount of testimony from both the Applicant, from
- 6 Staff, and I have UTAC's testimony.
- 7 Can the parties advise me where do we
- 8 stand with this matter? There's several outstanding
- 9 issues, it's my understanding.
- 10 Ms. Sara, I'm going to start with you.
- 11 MS. SARA: That's correct, your Honor.
- 12 At this point, Staff has reviewed the
- 13 testimony of IQ Telecom and would like to file
- 14 rebuttal testimony in response and would be happy to
- 15 offer a date of June 29th for that testimony at this
- 16 time. But we will definitely be needing to file
- 17 testimony in response.
- 18 JUDGE RILEY: And what is the Applicant's
- 19 response?
- 20 Mr. Crocker?
- 21 MR. CROCKER: Yes, your Honor.
- We recognize that the Staff may want

- 1 to file rebuttal testimony. We were hoping that
- 2 they would file it -- set the time at a sooner date
- 3 and possibly also identify a date that we could sit
- 4 down with Staff and go over some of the issues prior
- 5 to the filing of the rebuttal.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: And, Staff, what --
- 7 MS. SARA: Staff is requesting 5 weeks for the
- 8 rebuttal testimony, which is an appropriate amount
- 9 of time. This is the time that we had for direct
- 10 testimony. The Company had 7 weeks for direct
- 11 testimony. We think it's only fair to schedule it
- 12 at 5 weeks and that's how much time we're going to
- 13 need in order to provide an adequate response.
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: Is there any response to
- 15 Mr. Crocker's suggestion that the parties confer off
- 16 the record to try and hash out the issues?
- 17 MS. SARA: Staff is still collecting information
- 18 and deciding exactly what issues its going to
- 19 address in its rebuttal. It would be my inclination
- 20 to say no, that Staff was not willing to meet to
- 21 discuss the issues and would rather that this be
- 22 shown out in its testimony. But that's something

- 1 that we can maybe explore going forward between
- 2 parties.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. But for right now then
- 4 Staff wants to let the testimony speak for itself.
- 5 MS. SARA: That's correct.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: Mr. Provance, does UTAC want to
- 7 weigh in here?
- 8 MR. PROVANCE: Yes, your Honor.
- 9 UTAC is not at this time planning on
- 10 filing any rebuttal testimony. But we would like to
- 11 stay involve in this process as we have been
- 12 continued to be copied on any testimony that is
- 13 filed.
- 14 And to the extent that Staff and
- 15 IQT do meet off the record, UTAC would like to be
- 16 invited to that meeting.
- 17 JUDGE RILEY: UTAC is also a party to the
- 18 proceeding, so. . .
- 19 MR. PROVANCE: Yes.
- 20 MS. SARA: And, I, again, would like to
- 21 emphasize that Staff is committing in any way, shape
- 22 or form to an informal meeting.

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: That's understood. That's
- 2 understood.
- 3 Mr. Crocker, that's where we are right
- 4 now.
- 5 Anything further?
- 6 I've got a substantial amount of
- 7 material to digest. I've gone through it. It's
- 8 going to take a little bit more to make it -- bring
- 9 it second nature to me.
- 10 Staff has proposed June 29th for its
- 11 rebuttal. Will the Applicant -- does the Applicant
- 12 anticipate any surrebuttal? I supposed that would
- 13 be a hard question to answer.
- MR. CROCKER: I would like the opportunity to
- 15 address any issues that they raise. But I'd also
- 16 like to set a status conference for a date shortly
- 17 after June 29th, if we could.
- 18 JUDGE RILEY: Oh, absolutely. Yes.
- 19 MS. SARA: That's fine. Staff would be willing
- 20 to set a status.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right. And that's pretty much
- 22 where we are right now then. Staff is going to file

- 1 rebuttal testimony and you're still trying to
- 2 determine what issue you're going to address in that
- 3 rebuttal, is that correct?
- 4 MS. SARA: That is correct.
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: It would take us to the week after
- 6 the 4th of July for the status.
- 7 How is July 6th?
- 8 MS. SARA: July 6th is fine for Staff.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Mr. Crocker?
- 10 MR. CROCKER: July 6th is good for me,
- 11 your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Mr. Ward?
- 13 MR. WARD: That looks fine, your Honor.
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: And, Mr. Provance?
- MR. PROVANCE: Yes, your Honor.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- 17 MS. SARA: Your Honor, I would like to note I
- 18 have a conflict at 10:00 a.m. that day, but any
- 19 other time would be just fine.
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: 11:00 a.m.?
- 21 MR. WARD: That's fine.
- JUDGE RILEY: Mr. Crocker, would 11:00 a.m. be

- 1 okay for a starting time?
- 2 MR. CROCKER: Yes, your Honor.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. It will, again, be for
- 4 status.
- 5 Ms. Sara, is there any doubt in
- 6 Staff's mind that they can have that testimony filed
- 7 by the 29th?
- 8 MS. SARA: It will be filed on the 29th, your
- 9 Honor.
- 10 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Does Applicant have
- 11 anything further?
- 12 MR. CROCKER: Not at this time, your Honor.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: There's nothing further from
- 14 Staff?
- MS. SARA: Nothing.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: UTAC?
- 17 MR. PROVANCE: No, your Honor.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right, we'll leave it at that.
- 19 Staff is going to file rebuttal
- 20 testimony by June 29, 2011. And we'll continue this
- 21 matter for a status session to July 6th at
- 22 11:00 a.m.

```
Mr. Crocker, would you provide the
1
2 conference call number again?
3
       MR. CROCKER: Absolutely, your Honor.
4
       JUDGE RILEY: Thank you very much.
                 If there's nothing further, we're
5
6 continued.
7
                 Thank you.
      MS. SARA: Thank you.
8
9
      MR. WARD: Thank you, your Honor.
                          (Whereupon, the above-entitled
10
11
                           matter was continued to
12
                           June 29, 2011, 11:00 a.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```